Appl. No. 10/511,343

Atty Ref.: 3665-122 January 31, 2008

Amendment After Final Rejection

## REMARKS

Reconsideration is requested.

Claims 35, 36 and 43-67 are pending.

Claims 50, 55 and 56 have been canceled, without prejudice, above. Upon entry of the present Amendment, claims 35, 36, 43-49, 51-54 and 57-67 will be pending.

Entry of the present Amendment is requested.

Revised claim 35 specifies that the recited vector is a plasmid or a recombinant viral vector. Claims 43-46, 54 and 64-67 are believed to have been revised in a manner suggested by the Examiner. Specifically, the reference to functional fragments and portions objected to by the Examiner have been removed by the above amendments. Moreover, the phrase "suitable for" has been deleted from claim 54. support for the revisions to claim 54 may be found, for example, in unamended claims 43-46 and page 3. lines 35, of the specification.

The present amendments are made without prejudice or disclaimer and solely in order to facilitate reconsideration of this application. In particular, applicant reserves his right to file a continuation and/or divisional application(s) at a later stage, and the present amendment shall not be considered as an admission of the objection or as a waiver of any subject matter.

The Section 112, first paragraph "written description", rejection of claims 43-46 and 64-67 is believed to be obviated by the above amendments. Entry of the present Amendment will at least reduce the issues for appeal by obviating this rejection. Entry of the Amendment and withdrawal of the rejection are requested.

Appl. No. 10/511,343 Attv Ref.: 3665-122

January 31, 2008

Amendment After Final Rejection

To the extent not obviated by the above amendments, the Section 112, first

paragraph "enablement", rejection of claims 35, 43-46, 54-56 and 64-67 is traversed.

Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection are re quested in view of the above and

the following comments.

Claim 35 to include the details of claim 50, which was not rejected for an alleged

lack of enabling support.

Claims 55 and 56 referring to compositions for treating a human disease have

been deleted according to the Examiner's suggestions and claim 54 has been

amended.

Claim 54 specifies that the vector is for in vitro or ex vivo transgene delivery and

is believed to precisely identify the post transcriptional regulatory elements, as

explained previously.

The Examiner is urged to appreciate however that the specification provides

enabling and written description support for the broad family of vectors (see for

example, page 11, lines 1-14). The authors of the attached Brun et al. ("Optimization of

Transgene Expression at the Posttranscriptional Level in Neural Cells: Implications for

Gene Therapy", Molecular Therapy, Vol. 7, No. 6, 782-789, June 2003) is submitted as

evidence confirming the efficiency of a vector according to the invention, using two

different kinds of vectors from the above mentioned family, i.e., plasmids and

recombinant viruses. Specifying the nature of the vector should not be required for one

of ordinary skill in the art to make and use the claimed invention without reasonable

experimentation.

- 9 -

1298482

Appl. No. 10/511,343 Atty Ref.: 3665-122

January 31, 2008

Amendment After Final Rejection

The present application will be understood to teach the use of a chimeric genetic

construct comprising a transgene operably linked to at least two distinct

postranscriptional regulatory elements functional in mammalian cells, each comprising a

UTR region of a eukaryotic mRNA selected from a WPRE element, tau 3'UTR,

TH3'UTR and APP5'UTR to increase transgene expression at the posttranscriptional

level.

The Examiner's comments relating to methods comprising expressing a

transgene encoded by vectors in fibroblasts and neuronal cells in vivo are believed to

be obviated by the above amendments..

Entry of the present Amendment and consideration of the attached will at least

reduce the issues for appeal by obviating this rejection. Entry of the Amendment and

withdrawal of the rejection are requested.

The Section 103 rejections of claims 35, 36 and 46 over Barry (Human Gene

Therapy 12:1103-1108; 2001) in view of Paulding (JBC 274:2532-2538); of claim 43

over Barry, Paulding and Ramezani (Molecular Therapy 2:458-469; 2000); of claims 40,

44 and 64-65 over Barry, Paulding, Ramezani and Rogers (JBC 274:6421-6431; 1999);

of claims 41-42, 45-51 and 66-67 over Barry, Paulding, Ramezani, Rogers and Aronov

(J. Mol. Nerurosci., 12:131-145; 1999); and of claims 52, 56 and 59 over Barry and

Chang (Curr. Gene Ther. 2:237-251; 2001), are traversed..

Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections are requested as the applicants

believe that the cited art, and in particular the cited Barry et al., fail to describe or

suggest a vector wherein each of the two distinct posttranscriptional regulatory

- 10 -

1298482

Appl. No. 10/511,343 Attv Ref.: 3665-122

January 31, 2008

Amendment After Final Rejection

elements comprises a UTR region of a eukaryotic mRNA selected from a WPRE

element, tau 3'UTR, TH3'UTR and APP5'UTR. The Barry et al. reference further does

not suggest that synergistic effects could be obtained by combining at least two distinct

posttranscriptional regulatory elements.

The applicants have tested combinations of these posttranscriptional regulatory

elements and unexpectedly found that they could cooperate or act in synergy to provide

positive effects on transgene expression. This is confirm by the enclosed declaration

form Dr Jacques Mallet, inventor, and by the attached Brun et al. reference.

Consideration of the attached and withdrawal of the Section 103 rejections are

requested. The applicants submit that the cited combination of art would not have

suggested the vector according to the claimed invention not its effect in enhancing

expression of the transgene.

Withdrawal of the Section 103 rejections is requested.

The claims are submitted to be in condition for allowance and a Notice to that effect is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

**NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C.** 

By: /B. J. Sadoff/

Reg. No. 36,663

B.IS:

901 North Glebe Road, 11th Floor

Arlington, VA 22203-1808 Telephone: (703) 816-4000

Facsimile: (703) 816-4100